Submission ID: 23214

There are several points that I would like to comment on. No1 Why was this site chosen, how did the applicant find this site, was it suggested to them? No2 Having chosen this site did the applicant do a full impact and risk assesment on the impact it would have on the people of Elmesthorpe, Stoney Stanton, Burbage Common and the surrounding area, No3 If this goes ahead the traffic through Sapcote heading for the new entry slip road on to the M69 and traffic from the new exit slip road will be horrendous. No4 When ever there are problems on the M69 the is extra traffic on the B4114 this will be made worst by this develoment and will also affect the A47 because of the new road from the site. No5. Once the trains are unloaded at this site, how will the goods leave? Who are the occupants likely to be? If they are a supermarket or parcel delivery firm, they would most likely want to work 24/7 No6 At the moment there are 4 trains per hour going to and from Leicester. There are plans to increase these with extra trains to and from Birmingham plus a service to Coventry. That means possibly 8 passenger trains/hr plus the freight trains delivering waste to Croft Quarry the noise suffered by residents close to the line in Elmesthorpe, Croft, Narbrough, Whetstone, Glen Parva, South Wigston and other places along the line would become unbearable. The line is only twin tracks with no passing loops. No7 This is a greenfield site used for farming. It would be much better if this application was withdrawn/rejected and a more suitable Brownfield site was found. No 8 There is a simlar development being built at J15 of the M1, Drift at J18 of the M1 has been expanded on both sides of the A5. Magna park is also expanding although I understand there are empty units and there is the East Midland Gateway so why do we need this in the middle of a Rural Community which will have an adverse effect on our health and wellbeing and will destroy valuable agriculture land. Finally Ratcliffe Power Station will be closing soon which is far more suitable